COMITÉ MARITIME INTERNATIONAL

International Working Group on Cross-Border Insolvency

QUESTIONNAIRE

SECTION I

CROSS-BORDER MARITIME INSOLVENCY ISSUES

Part 1 General Insolvency Principles Applicable to Foreign Creditors

1. Has your country adopted any specific rules on cross-border insolvency (such as the UNCITRAL Model Law or any specific domestic, bilateral or multilateral instrument)? If so, please provide a general description based on the topics discussed in this questionnaire.

2. Do your laws recognize the standing of a foreign creditor or other person (such as a foreign flag authority of a locally domiciled shipowner or a foreign administrator of insolvency proceedings) to start or oppose an insolvency proceeding in respect of a local ship operator or in respect of assets located locally? If so, describe in detail those rights or restrictions upon such rights of such foreign entities which differ from those of local creditors, insolvency administrators or public authorities.

3. Do your laws have a procedure for supervising the activities in your country of a foreign insolvency administrator?

4. If an administrator is unwilling to pursue a claim by the insolvent ship operator, can foreign creditors apply to an insolvency tribunal for a transfer of the subject matter of the claim from the estate of the insolvent ship operator to a creditor or group of creditors?

5. Do your laws permit foreign creditors to apply to a court for supervisory orders if they consider the administrator is acting inefficiently or wrongly? If so, describe the procedure generally.

6. Do your laws permit foreign creditors to commence legal proceedings against
administrators if they consider the administrator has acted negligently or wrongly?

7. If a foreign creditor or claimant against a ship operator foresees it will suffer a loss or commercial disadvantage because of the appointment of a private receiver or the way the private receiver is acting, does such a foreign claimant have any legal remedies against the receiver, such as applying to a court for supervisory orders or to put the ship operator into bankruptcy?

Part 2 Subject Matter or Territorial Jurisdiction

8. Do your laws permit assertion of insolvency jurisdiction generally over any asset of an insolvent ship operator domiciled in your country, regardless of the location of the asset within or outside your country? Please comment whether this scope of jurisdiction differs between a ship of your country's registry owned by persons domiciled in your country, or a ship of another flag owned by persons domiciled in your country.

Part 3 Notice to Foreign Creditors

9. Do any legal or procedural requirements have to be followed to ensure the insolvent ship operator or the insolvency administrator identifies all known foreign creditors?

10. Do your laws require administrators of insolvency proceedings to give notice of the proceedings to foreign creditors? As a general practice, how is such notice given to foreign creditors?

11. Do your laws require administrators of insolvency proceedings to give notice of time bars for filing of claims to foreign creditors? As a general practice, how is such notice given to foreign creditors?

12. If the insolvent business is a shipowner, do your laws require notice of insolvency proceedings to be given to the ship registrar for domestically registered vessels?

13. Do your laws require notice of insolvency proceedings to be given to diplomatic or consular officials of the flag states of foreign registered vessels which are assets of a local insolvent ship operator?

14. If a foreign creditor later learns of the existence of insolvency proceedings, is the foreign creditor permitted to file late claims or have a right to claim against any of the assets of the insolvent ship operator which have not yet been distributed to creditors?
Part 4 Recognition of Foreign Claims

15. Please describe the conflict of laws rules for recognition of foreign maritime claims in insolvency proceedings. For example, if the claim is a maritime lien under the law of the place where the claim arose but not in the country where the insolvency proceeding is being conducted, will the insolvency administrator or tribunal recognize the foreign maritime lien?

16. Apart from the characterization and priority of claims, are there any other procedural differences in the handling of claims between those by foreign creditors and those by local creditors? With reference to the types of claims listed in the table, please describe any differences in detail.

17. Does your law recognize rights of claims to property rights, sale or enforcement given by foreign law to particular types of creditors, such as, for example, to financial institutions or spouses for their entitlement to business property interests of the other spouse on separation or divorce?

18. Is the recognition of foreign arbitral awards for purposes of proof of claim in insolvency proceedings different from the recognition of foreign arbitral awards for general legal purposes? Please explain any differences.

19. If the insolvent ship operator is a state-owned enterprise, are there any differences in the rights or procedures available to a foreign creditor under your country’s insolvency law?

Part 5 Recognition of Foreign Insolvency Proceedings

20. Do your laws permit the administrator of a foreign insolvency proceeding to publish notices of such proceedings in local news media or to communicate directly with local creditors concerning proofs of claim and payment of any recoveries in the insolvency proceedings? If there any legal restrictions on direct handling of claims by foreign administrators, please provide details.

21. Will your country’s courts recognize a request for the recognition of foreign insolvency proceedings?

22. Will such a request be recognized if it comes directly from a foreign trustee in bankruptcy, liquidator or administrator, or does the request have to be in the form of a
letter of request issued by the foreign bankruptcy tribunal?

23. What legal standards do your country’s courts apply for the purpose of recognition of foreign insolvency proceedings? Please provide details.

24. Do your laws have a procedure for a request for the recognition by a foreign insolvency administrator or insolvency court of a local insolvency proceeding? Are such requests generally made by the administrator or the insolvency court? Generally describe the procedure.

25. Can an administrator of insolvency proceedings request the courts of your country for assistance in obtaining recognition of insolvency proceedings of foreign insolvency administrators or foreign courts? Generally describe the procedure.

26. Will your courts enforce any compulsory transfer of a contractual obligation involving a vessel formerly owned by an insolvent ship operator, if this contractual obligation affects parties located in your country?

27. Does your legal system have a procedure for the coordination of concurrent insolvency proceedings involving maritime assets, insolvent ship operators or creditors in your country and abroad? Is this procedure set out in laws or regulations or has it been developed through practice of insolvency tribunals? Please provide details including any generally used precedent forms of procedural orders.

28. Is your country a party to any bilateral or multilateral agreements for the coordination of multi-country insolvency proceedings or the recognition of foreign insolvency proceedings? Please list such agreements.

Part 6 Need for Reform

29. Have any provisions of your insolvency law created legal uncertainty or difficulties in the administration of cross-border maritime insolvencies? Please refer to any legal commentary or case law.
SECTION II

GENERAL MARITIME INSOLVENCY ISSUES

Part 7 General Insolvency Issues Applicable to Ship Operators and Maritime Property

30. Are ships registered in your country or ship operators incorporated in your country subject to insolvency laws of general application or do your laws provide for specific rules relating to the administration of the businesses of insolvent ship operators?

31. If your laws provide for specific rules relating to the administration of the businesses of insolvent ship operators or ships under your registry as distinct from assets of commercial enterprises generally, please provide details of how these rules applying to ships or ship operators differ from general insolvency administration.

32. Is there a monetary or asset value threshold for the application of various forms of insolvency procedure? For example, is there a form of simplified insolvency administration for ship operators with assets of limited value?

33. Do rights to commence insolvency proceedings or insolvency procedures differ if the debtor ship operator is a natural person as distinct from a legal entity? Describe any differences generally.

34. If creditors are asserting claims against all or substantially all the assets of an insolvent ship operator, does this result in distinct or additional procedural or legal requirements?

35. Are insolvency procedures administered by courts of general jurisdiction, or by specialized courts or tribunals exercising commercial or insolvency jurisdiction?

36. Describe generally the threshold tests set out in your law for the status of insolvency.

37. If the threshold tests for insolvency proceedings in your country differ for a foreign ship operator with assets in your country which wishes to begin insolvency proceedings in your country, describe these differences in detail.

38. Do your laws permit a private creditor to obtain a court order to begin insolvency
proceedings against a ship operator? If so, describe generally what facts or legal grounds the creditor must show to obtain such an order.

39. Do your laws permit a public authority to obtain a court order or to exercise its own jurisdiction to begin insolvency proceedings against a ship operator other than procedures available to private creditors? If so, describe generally what are the factual or legal grounds for such public authority to begin such insolvency process?

40. Does a ship operator have rights to defend or oppose an insolvency proceeding begun by private creditors or public authorities? If so, describe generally what defences are available.

41. Do your laws permit a ship operator to voluntarily begin an insolvency proceeding? If so, describe generally what facts or legal grounds a ship operator must demonstrate to begin voluntary insolvency proceedings.

42. Do creditors or any other persons with legal standing (such as public authorities, shareholders or employees of a ship operator) have rights to oppose a ship operators' voluntary insolvency proceeding? If so, describe generally what classes of persons other than creditors have such legal standing and what grounds of opposition are available.

43. Do your laws provide for a time bar for filing of claims in insolvency proceedings which is different from limitation periods or prescription for commencement of maritime claims generally? If insolvency proceedings have different time bars for filing of claims, are these time bars set out in legislation or are they decided by insolvency administrators or tribunals on a case-by-case basis?

44. Do your laws permit an insolvency administrator to carry on the ship operator's business for a temporary period in order, for example, to complete voyage or charter party commitments?

45. Do your laws permit an insolvency administrator to disclaim or otherwise set aside future contractual obligations such as charter parties or contracts of affreightment?

46. Do your laws permit or require an insolvency administrator to compulsorily transfer contractual obligations such as contracts of affreightment or employment agreements with crew from the insolvent ship operator to the purchaser of the vessel from the estate of the insolvent owner?
Part 8 Acceleration of Remedies

47. Do your laws permit a creditor to contract for immediate repayment of an entire debt, such as future obligations under a ship mortgage, if a ship owner becomes insolvent?

48. If there are differences in the application of these laws to acceleration remedies by foreign creditors as distinct from local creditors, describe these differences in detail.

Part 9 Classes of Claims and Creditors

49. Do your insolvency laws apply differently to differing types of claims or creditors? Please respond to this question using the attached table. For example, is a bank or financial institution permitted to enforce a ship mortgage by procedures outside of an insolvency which would not be available to a ship mortgagee other than a bank or financial institution?

50. Does the existence of an insolvency proceeding under your country’s law alter the priority of creditors’ claims against a ship owned or operated by an insolvent person? Please respond to this question with reference to the types of claims listed in the attached table.

51. If a shipowner commences proceedings to establish a limitation fund under the LLMC Convention or to establish a limitation fund under domestic law, describe the relationship between such fund and any insolvency proceedings involving that shipowner. For example, can creditors begin insolvency proceedings if a limitation fund has been established? Can an insolvent shipowner establish a limitation fund?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Claim Arising</th>
<th>Secured Claim (enforcement may be continued by claimant outside bankruptcy administration)</th>
<th>Preferred Claim (administered as part of bankruptcy process but in higher priority to general creditors)</th>
<th>Unsecured Claim (administered as part of bankruptcy process with same ranking as other claims)</th>
<th>Exempt Claim (claim is not subject to bankruptcy or continues to be an obligation of ship operator after bankruptcy administration concluded)</th>
<th>Additional Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>title, possession or ownership of a ship or any part interest in a ship</td>
<td>between co-owners of a ship including use or earnings of the ship</td>
<td>mortgages or hypotecs on a ship or share in a ship</td>
<td>bottomry or other contractual liens on a ship</td>
<td>wages, benefits, or repatriation of master or crew</td>
<td>loss of life or personal injury in connection with operation of a ship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>salvage awards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
unpaid suppliers of goods or services to a ship
general average
collision
other types of tortious or delictual physical damage caused by ship
cargo loss or damage
contracts of carriage, including charterparties, other than for cargo loss or damage
towage (other than salvage)
pilotage
hull insurance
p&I insurance
port, canal and harbour dues
wreck removal by public authorities
environmental damage
unpaid contributions for social benefits programs (workers' compensation, health etc)
criminal or regulatory fines or penalties
fraud or intentional wrongdoing in connection with operation of ship
Part 10 Proposals for Reorganization or Compromise

52. Do your laws permit an insolvent ship operator to make a proposal for the reorganization of its business or compromise of claims in which the ship operator would continue to operate into the future if the proposal is approved?

53. Do your laws permit such proposals to be conducted through private contractual arrangements between an insolvent ship operator and some of its creditors, or do such proposals need to be conducted under supervision of a court or with approval of all identifiable creditors?

54. If it is lawful to conduct a proposal through private contractual arrangements, are such private contractual arrangements affecting a ship legally binding on other claimants against that ship who have not participated in such private contractual arrangements?

55. If a proposal is required to be conducted under supervision of a court or approval of all known creditors, please provide a general description of the reorganization procedure.

56. Are secured creditors of an insolvent shipowner subject to court orders approving a reorganization or compromise?

57. Do your laws permit an insolvent ship operator to transfer an insolvency proceeding into a proceeding for reorganization or compromise?

Part 11 Receiverships

58. Does your law permit a private creditor such as a ship mortgagee to take over the business of a ship operator or to sell part or all of its fleet or generally act to recover a debt without needing to commence insolvency proceedings for the benefit of all creditors?

59. Does your law set out minimum requirements which a private receiver of an insolvent shipowner must follow such as giving notice to other registered ship mortgagees, the procedure for sale, etc.